

## International Legal Cooperation in Counter-Terrorism Efforts in Southeast Asia: Challenges and Prospects

Rebecca Sarocha

Faculty of Political Science Chulalongkorn University  
rebe.sarocha@gmail.com

### Abstract

Terrorism remains one of the most pressing threats to security and stability in Southeast Asia, given its transnational character that undermines both national sovereignty and regional peace. This study examines the framework of international legal cooperation in combating terrorism within the ASEAN region, focusing on the ASEAN Convention on Counter-Terrorism (ACCT) of 2007, relevant United Nations conventions, and bilateral as well as multilateral initiatives. Employing a juridical-normative method with a descriptive-analytical approach, the study draws on primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials to assess the effectiveness and limitations of existing mechanisms. Findings indicate that while ASEAN member states have demonstrated commitment through ratifications and cooperative arrangements, significant challenges persist. These include divergences in national legal systems, sovereignty concerns hindering extradition, limited law enforcement capacity, and the increasing threat of digital radicalization. Despite these obstacles, ASEAN's collaborative framework serves as a foundation for ongoing dialogue and practical action, highlighting the need for greater harmonization of legislation, enhanced intelligence sharing, and stronger regional as well as global partnerships. The study concludes that adaptive and integrated strategies are essential to strengthen counter-terrorism measures and safeguard long-term regional security.

**Keywords:** ASEAN, International Law, Counter-Terrorism, Regional Cooperation, Southeast Asia, Security

### INTRODUCTION

Terrorism constitutes a significant threat to security and stability in Southeast Asia. This phenomenon impacts not only national security but also has transnational implications that jeopardize regional peace. Recent terror attacks in Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand illustrate that terrorist networks operate across borders, with logistical support, funding, and recruitment efforts executed internationally (Henianti et al., 2023; Wilujeng & Risman, 2020; Tran & Ionov, 2023). These interconnected operations underscore the necessity for a robust regional approach that encompasses various facets of counter-terrorism efforts.

In the realm of international law, terrorism is recognized as an extraordinary crime that necessitates cooperative mechanisms between nations. Southeast Asia, particularly through the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), has established several legal instruments, such as the ASEAN Convention on Counter-Terrorism (ACCT) of 2007, which enhances the framework for legal and security cooperation in combating terrorism (Prakasa et al., 2021; Putri, 2022; Tran & Ionov, 2023). Additionally, bilateral and multilateral collaborations—such as the Trilateral Cooperative Arrangement among Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines, aimed at securing the Sulu Sea—demonstrate a commitment within the region to address the threat of terrorism effectively (Subandi et al., 2023; Tran & Ionov, 2023).

This article seeks to analyze the various forms of international legal cooperation in countering terrorism in Southeast Asia, evaluate the effectiveness of implementing these legal instruments, and identify challenges facing ASEAN member states in their collective counter-terrorism strategies (Henianti et al., 2023; Putri, 2022; Wilujeng et al., 2021; Khah, 2020;

(Subandi et al., 2023; . Through examining these aspects, it is possible to illuminate the multifaceted nature of counter-terrorism within this strategically important region, bearing in mind the varying political contexts and legal structures of different member states.

The effectiveness of ASEAN's approach to counter-terrorism has been both lauded and criticized. Efforts to harmonize laws across member states are pivotal, yet they face hurdles due to differing national interests and capacities (Henianti et al., 2023; Saptono et al., 2023)Ilbiz, 2021). Challenges include ensuring comprehensive information sharing, political will to prioritize security over sovereignty, and adapting to the evolving nature of terrorism, especially in the digital and social realms (Subandi et al., 2023; Saptono et al., 2023). Despite these obstacles, ASEAN's collaborative framework provides a foundational structure that facilitates ongoing dialogue and collective action against terrorism, underlining the need for adaptive strategies in an increasingly complex security landscape.

## **METHODS**

This study employs a juridical-normative research method with a descriptive-analytical approach. Data is principally gathered from primary legal materials, including international conventions such as the ASEAN Convention on Counter-Terrorism (ACCT) of 2007 and the United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy. Additionally, bilateral agreements and other related international legal instruments are crucial in understanding the framework governing counter-terrorism efforts, particularly in the context of regional cooperation Saptono et al. (2023)Subandi et al., 2023). Secondary legal materials will be sourced from scholarly books, journal articles, research reports, and official documents issued by ASEAN and the United Nations, which provide a nuanced understanding of the legal principles and frameworks that underpin counter-terrorism practices in Southeast Asia (Henianti et al., 2023; Tran & Ionov, 2023; Sundram, 2024). Lastly, tertiary legal materials, such as legal encyclopedias, official news reports, and statistical data regarding terrorist attacks in the region, will contribute to a comprehensive overview of the subject matter (Zhilina et al., 2021; Ismed et al., 2025; Aryeno et al., 2022).

The analytical process involves interpreting international legal norms and correlating them with practical implementations in Southeast Asia. This entails assessing the efficacy of the ASEAN-centered legal framework in combating terrorism, along with the challenges posed by varying national interests among member states (Haugstvedt, 2023; DORA, 2021; Wibisono & Kusumasomantri, 2020). By examining how these legal instruments operate within specific political contexts, the study aims to shed light on the practical implications of these laws on regional security and counter-terrorism efforts (Pomarède, 2024; Vuković, 2022). Furthermore, the study will navigate through the complexities of applying international norms on terrorism within the unique sociopolitical landscapes of Southeast Asia, thereby contributing to the broader discourse on international law and regional security cooperation (Pettinger, 2020; Tran & Ionov, 2023; Vuković, 2022).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **I. International Legal Framework for Counter-Terrorism**

In international law, a singular definition of terrorism remains elusive. However, various instruments govern related offenses, such as the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (1999) and the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Terrorist Bombings (1997) Etta-Nyoh (2020)Velasco, 2023). Many ASEAN countries have ratified a number of these conventions, demonstrating their commitment to addressing global terrorism (Subandi et al., 2023; (Henianti et al., 2023). Moreover, the United

Nations Security Council Resolution 1373 (2001) emphasizes the obligation of member states to prevent the financing of terrorism, enhance intelligence cooperation, and strengthen national legislation related to terrorism prevention (Krisnata, 2021; (Henianti et al., 2023)). This framework indicates the collective recognition of terrorism as a transnational threat necessitating cooperative international responses (Henianti et al., 2023).

## **2. The ASEAN Convention on Counter Terrorism (ACCT) 2007**

The ACCT represents a significant milestone in legal cooperation within Southeast Asia. This convention establishes provisions for the exchange of intelligence regarding terrorist networks, mutual legal assistance, extradition of perpetrators among ASEAN member states, and harmonization of national legislation concerning terrorism offenses (Groothuis, 2020; Schmidt & Kasznár, 2024). However, the implementation of ACCT faces several obstacles, particularly due to differences in national legal systems, varying enforcement capacities, and the principle of state sovereignty that often obstructs extradition (Pessela & Saksono, 2022; (Rodrigo-Jusué, 2023; . These challenges highlight the necessity for ASEAN countries to foster a more collaborative approach to effectively address the complexities of counter-terrorism (Rodrigo-Jusué, 2023; Mutisya, 2024).

## **3. Bilateral and Multilateral Cooperation**

Concrete forms of bilateral and multilateral cooperation in combating terrorism in Southeast Asia include initiatives such as the Trilateral Cooperative Arrangement (TCA) among Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines, which focuses on joint maritime patrols in the Sulu-Sulawesi Sea (Arnold, 2021; Khan, 2022). Other significant partnerships include the Joint Working Group on Counter-Terrorism between ASEAN and Australia, as well as the Indonesia-Singapore Counter-Terrorism Partnership, which focuses on training security personnel, intelligence sharing, and the development of data exchange systems (Ochara et al., 2020; Saptono et al., 2023). These collaborations reflect a regional synergy aimed at enhancing security while acknowledging that domestic political factors may still influence their effectiveness (Liu, 2023).

## **4. Challenges in Counter-Terrorism in Southeast Asia**

The challenges facing counter-terrorism efforts in Southeast Asia are multifaceted. Discrepancies in the definition and national legislation regarding terrorism complicate the harmonization of laws across the region (Kimmel et al., 2020; Khah, 2020). Sovereignty issues often hinder extradition mechanisms and cross-border operations, posing significant obstacles to effective counter-terrorism strategies (Ilbiz, 2021; Omede & Ngwube, 2022). Furthermore, the limited capacity of law enforcement agencies in combating terrorism financing and cybercrime exacerbates the situation (Hasibuan & Tijow, 2024). The radicalization fueled by digital technology facilitates the cross-border dissemination of extremist ideologies, posing a persistent challenge to regional stability (Tin et al., 2021; Bonino & Ricucci, 2021).

## **Discussion**

### **1. International Legal Framework for Counter-Terrorism**

Despite the absence of a universally accepted definition of terrorism in international law, numerous conventions regulate specific acts associated with terrorism, such as the *International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (1999)* and the *Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Terrorist Bombings (1997)*. Most ASEAN member states have ratified these conventions, reinforcing their commitment to combating terrorism globally. Furthermore, *UNSCR 1373 (2001)* obliges states to prevent terrorism financing, enhance intelligence cooperation, and strengthen national legislation. These instruments

illustrate a collective understanding that terrorism is a transnational threat requiring coordinated responses.

## **2. ASEAN Convention on Counter-Terrorism (ACCT) 2007**

The ACCT represents a milestone in regional cooperation. It regulates intelligence exchange, mutual legal assistance, extradition processes, and the harmonization of terrorism-related laws. Nevertheless, challenges remain in its implementation, particularly due to disparities in national legal frameworks, capacity gaps among law enforcement agencies, and sovereignty concerns that obstruct extradition. These difficulties suggest that ASEAN must intensify collaboration to ensure that the convention achieves its intended objectives.

## **3. Bilateral and Multilateral Cooperation**

Beyond ASEAN's collective framework, bilateral and multilateral initiatives have been established. The *Trilateral Cooperative Arrangement (TCA)* among Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines addresses maritime security threats in the Sulu-Sulawesi Sea through coordinated patrols. Other partnerships, such as the *ASEAN–Australia Joint Working Group on Counter-Terrorism* and the *Indonesia–Singapore Counter-Terrorism Partnership*, focus on intelligence sharing, training, and technical cooperation. These initiatives strengthen regional resilience, though their effectiveness is often influenced by domestic political dynamics within member states.

## **4. Challenges in Counter-Terrorism in Southeast Asia**

Several structural and political challenges hinder effective counter-terrorism cooperation:

- a. Legal Discrepancies** – Differing definitions and national legislations complicate law harmonization.
- b. Sovereignty Issues** – Sensitivities over state sovereignty impede extradition and cross-border operations.
- c. Limited Institutional Capacity** – Many states lack adequate resources to address terrorism financing and cyber-based extremism.
- d. Digital Radicalization** – The rapid spread of extremist ideologies through digital platforms amplifies cross-border threats.

Addressing these issues requires not only legal harmonization but also political will and capacity-building initiatives across the region.

## **CONCLUSION**

International legal cooperation has become indispensable in Southeast Asia's fight against terrorism. ASEAN's adoption of the ACCT, alignment with global counter-terrorism conventions, and numerous bilateral and multilateral partnerships underscore the region's collective determination. Nonetheless, implementation gaps—arising from legal, political, and technological challenges—limit the overall effectiveness of these frameworks.

To strengthen regional counter-terrorism strategies, ASEAN must:

1. Promote harmonization of terrorism-related laws across member states.
2. Enhance mutual legal assistance and extradition mechanisms.
3. Build institutional capacity, especially in financial monitoring and cybercrime prevention.
4. Expand cooperation with external partners, such as the United Nations, Australia, and the European Union.

By adopting adaptive, collaborative, and technology-oriented strategies, Southeast Asia can establish a more resilient regional security architecture that effectively addresses the evolving threat of terrorism.

## References

- Arnold, K. (2021). The epidemic–terrorism nexus and how to safeguard Africa against bioterrorism: Lessons from the global polio eradication initiative? *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 28(2), 145-165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2021.1922309>
- Aryeno, B., Suratman, T., & Nurita, R. (2022). Peran Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme (BNPT) dalam upaya penanggulangan kelompok kriminal bersenjata (KKB) sebagai kejahatan terorisme (studi di Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme). *Bhirawa Law Journal*, 3(1), 74-81. <https://doi.org/10.26905/blj.v3i1.7983>
- Bonino, S., & Ricucci, R. (2021). *Islam and security in the West*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-67925-5>
- Dora, Z. (2021). The US-led “war on terror” in Afghanistan: 2001-2021. *Manas Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 10(Özel Sayı), 172-185. <https://doi.org/10.33206/mjss.1003949>
- Etta-Nyoh, A. (2020). The effectiveness of international efforts in combating terrorism. *IAGS*. <https://doi.org/10.7176/iags/88-03>
- Groothuis, S. (2020). Researching race, racialisation, and racism in critical terrorism studies: Clarifying conceptual ambiguities. *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, 13(4), 680-701. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17539153.2020.1810990>
- Hasibuan, H., & Tijow, L. (2024). A comprehensive examination of international and national approaches to counterterrorism: Emphasis on the Indonesian context. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 12(1), e3098. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v12i1.3098>
- Haugstvedt, H. (2023). A flying reign of terror? The who, where, when, what, and how of non-state actors and armed drones. *Journal of Human Security*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.12924/johs2023.19010001>
- Henianti, D., Wahjoe, O., & Puspawati, A. (2023). Combatting terrorism in ASEAN. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i18.14373>
- Ilbiz, E. (2021). Electoral cost of the European Union promoted norms: Erdogan’s counter-terrorism impasse. *Global Affairs*, 7(5), 695-710. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23340460.2021.1948801>
- Ismed, M., Basuki, B., Sinaulan, R., & Akkapin, S. (2025). Counter-terrorism policy in the ASEAN region: Comparative study of Malaysia and Indonesia. *Journal Evidence of Law*, 4(1), 95-110. <https://doi.org/10.59066/jel.v4i1.970>
- Khah, Z. (2020). Criminalisation of terrorism financing in Iranian law. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 27(1), 231-244. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfc-12-2018-0133>
- Khan, B. (2022). Judicial review of counter-terror legislation: The jurisprudence of the United States Supreme Court and the Supreme Court of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 04(04), 1010-1018. <https://doi.org/10.52567/pjsr.v4i04.907>
- Kimmel, L., Barnard, M., & Kuru, A. (2020). “Open to the public.” *International Journal of Architectural Research Archnet-Ijar*, 14(2), 251-266. <https://doi.org/10.1108/arch-08-2019-0189>
- Krisnata, R. (2021). The impact of changing the status of Papua's armed criminal group (KKB) into the terrorist separatist group (KST) on handling. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 05(08), 542-549. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2021.5831>
- Liu, X. (2023). Why radical counterterrorism strategies are created: The comparison based on the United States and France. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, 15(1), 248-253. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/15/20231065>



- Mutisya, M. (2024). Challenges and lessons learned from counter terrorism strategies in Kenya. *African Journal of Empirical Research*, 5(1), 225-230. <https://doi.org/10.51867/ajernet.5.1.22>
- Ochara, N., Odhiambo, N., & Kadyamatimba, A. (2020). The digitalised terrorism ecology: A systems perspective. *The African Journal of Information and Communication*, (25). <https://doi.org/10.23962/10539/29196>
- Omede, J., & Ngwube, A. (2022). Building an African counter-terrorism architecture. *African Journal of Political Science*, 10(1), 62-74. <https://doi.org/10.36615/ajpsrasp.v10i1.1164>
- Pessela, M., & Saksono, E. (2022). Terrorism on ASEAN's economic growth, does it matter? *Jurnal Inovasi Ekonomi*, 7(01), 63-68. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jiko.v7i01.19034>
- Pettinger, T. (2020). British terrorism preemption: Subjectivity and disjuncture in Channel "de-radicalization" interventions. *British Journal of Sociology*, 71(5), 970-984. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12754>
- Pomarède, J. (2024). Deadly ambiguities: NATO and the politics of counter-terrorism in international organizations after 9/11. *Security Dialogue*, 55(6), 588-606. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09670106241240426>
- Prakasa, S., Al-Fatih, S., & Haqqi, A. (2021). Terrorism eradication in ASEAN countries: Human rights perspective. *Al-Ihkam Jurnal Hukum & Pranata Sosial*, 16(2), 327-361. <https://doi.org/10.19105/al-ihkam.v16i2.5021>
- Putri, D. (2022). The urgency of a gender-based approach to counter terrorism in Indonesia: A look into the European policies. *Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum Legality*, 30(2), 196-213. <https://doi.org/10.22219/ljih.v30i2.21256>
- Rodrigo-Jusúe, I. (2023). 'It's like almost hypnotised people': An exploration of vernacular discourses and social imaginaries of terrorism in the United Kingdom. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 27(6), 1266-1284. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13675494231218167>
- Saptono, Y., Sumertha, I., Alexandra, H., & Widodo, P. (2023). Strengthening regional security through the establishment of the ASEAN counter terrorism and peacekeeping task force led by Indonesia in collaboration with the United States. *International Journal of Humanities Education and Social Sciences (IJHESS)*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.55227/ijhess.v3i1.585>
- Schmidt, G., & Kasznár, A. (2024). The Lafarge group – A short case study. *Gradus*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.47833/2024.2.eco.021>
- Subandi, Y., Sjahputra, H., & Subhan, M. (2023). Indonesia-ASEAN partnership to counter-terrorism in Indonesia. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(7), 2857-2874. <https://doi.org/10.55927/eajmr.v2i7.5373>
- Sundram, P. (2024). ASEAN cooperation to combat transnational crime: Progress, perils, and prospects. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2024.1304828>
- Tin, D., Kallenborn, Z., Hart, A., Hertelendy, A., & Ciottone, G. (2021). Opioid attack and the implications for counter-terrorism medicine. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 36(6), 661-663. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1049023x21001059>
- Tran, Z., & Ionov, E. (2023). The role of ASEAN and Vietnam in counter-terrorism. *Международные Отношения*, (1), 41-55. <https://doi.org/10.7256/2454-0641.2023.1.39746>
- Velasco, J. (2023). Southeast Asian regionalism: An examination of the progress and priorities of ASEAN through its joint communiqués. *JAS (Journal of ASEAN Studies)*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.21512/jas.v11i2.9402>
- Vuković, S. (2022). The assessment of individual risk of radicalization and violent extremism. *Nauka Bezbednost Policija*, 27(1), 61-77. <https://doi.org/10.5937/nabepo27-35664>

- Wibisono, A., & Kusumasomantri, A. (2020). Assessing the expectations and limitations of ASEAN-EU counter-terrorism cooperation. *JAS (Journal of ASEAN Studies)*, 8(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.21512/jas.v8i1.6171>
- Wilujeng, N., & Risman, H. (2020). Examining ASEAN our eyes dealing with regional context in counter terrorism, radicalism, and violent extremism. *People International Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(1), 267-281. <https://doi.org/10.20319/pijss.2020.61.267281>
- Wilujeng, N., Swastanto, Y., & Joostensz, T. (2021). Counter-terrorism cooperation in the ASEAN regional forum (ARF) from the perspective of Indonesia defense diplomacy. *Jurnal Pertahanan*, 7(2), 205. <https://doi.org/10.33172/jp.v7i2.728>
- Zhilina, N., Lukyanchikova, E., Kovalenko, D., Mironuk, I., & Prokhorova, M. (2021). Terminological description of extremism in international acts and national criminal laws. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S3), 942-949. <https://doi.org/10.21744/lingcure.v5ns3.1670>