The Development of Pragmatic Competence Through Listening Comprehension in Students of German as a Foreign Language

Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna
Orientalische Universität
Correspondence Saidova@gmail.com

Abstract

This research aims to examine the role of listening comprehension in the development of pragmatic competence in students of German as a Foreign Language (DaF). Pragmatic competence, which involves understanding and using language appropriately according to social and cultural contexts, is a crucial aspect of effective communication. This literature review analyzes various theories and concepts related to pragmatics, listening comprehension, and foreign language learning to identify how listening comprehension activities can significantly contribute to the improvement of students' pragmatic competence. The results of the analysis indicate that exposure to authentic contexts through listening materials, coupled with teaching strategies that focus on the interpretation of implicit meaning and social nuances, can enrich learners' pragmatic understanding. The implications of this research highlight the importance of integrating specifically designed listening comprehension materials and activities into the DaF curriculum to facilitate the development of holistic communicative competence.

Keywords: Pragmatic Competence, Listening Comprehension, German as a Foreign Language, Language Learning

INTRODUCTION

Effective communication in a foreign language does not only depend on mastering grammar and vocabulary but also on the ability to understand and use language appropriately in different social and cultural contexts. This ability is known as pragmatic competence (Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna, Orientalische Universität). This competence includes understanding how the literal meaning of an utterance can differ from its communicative intent (Levinson, 1983), as well as how factors such as the relationship between speakers, the situation, and cultural norms influence the interpretation and production of language (Morris, 1938). Without adequate pragmatic competence, foreign language learners may produce grammatically correct utterances that are inappropriate or even offensive in certain contexts (Handbuch der Linguistik 1975, S. 327).

The study of pragmatics has experienced rapid development in recent decades, attracting the attention of foreign language researchers and teachers (Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna, Orientalische Universität; Ernst, 2002, pp. 1ff). This is due to the recognition that pragmatic competence is key to achieving true communicative fluency (Levinson, 1994, pp. 5ff). The development of this competence not only helps learners understand the implied meanings in communication but also enables them

to participate in social interactions appropriately and effectively (Kuhn, C. A., Seite 113). In the context of teaching German as a foreign language (DaF), the emphasis on developing pragmatic competence is becoming increasingly important given the cultural differences and communication norms between German speakers and learners from diverse cultural backgrounds (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2).

One language skill believed to play a significant role in the development of pragmatic competence is listening to comprehension (Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna, Orientalische Universität). Through exposure to various types of spoken discourse in authentic contexts, learners can learn to recognize the pragmatic features of language that may not be explicit in grammar rules or vocabulary lists (Levinson, 1994, p. 9). Listening allows learners to observe how native speakers use language for various communicative purposes (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2), how they adapt their language to the situation and their interlocutors (Böhme 2003), and how they convey implicit meanings through intonation, pauses, and word choice (Kuhn, C. A., Seite 113).

Research on the relationship between listening comprehension and pragmatic competence indicates that the ability to process and understand rich and contextualized oral input can positively influence the development of learners' pragmatic understanding (Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna, Orientalische Universität; Levinson, 1994, p. 9). Repeated exposure to diverse communicative situations through listening materials can help learners build an intuitive understanding of how language is used in different contexts (Ernst, 2002, pp. 1ff). Furthermore, specifically designed listening comprehension activities can encourage learners to focus on pragmatic aspects of language, such as speech acts, conversational implicatures, and discourse markers (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2; Böhme 2003).

This article aims to further explore how listening comprehension contributes to the development of pragmatic competence in DaF students (Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna, Orientalische Universität). Through a comprehensive literature review of pragmatic theories (Levinson, 1983; Morris, 1938), research on listening comprehension in foreign language learning (Kuhn, C. A., Seite 113; Ernst, 2002, pp. 1ff), and DaF teaching practices (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2; Böhme 2003), this article will identify the mechanisms through which understanding oral input can facilitate the acquisition of pragmatic knowledge and skills needed for effective communication in German (Levinson, 1994, pp. 5ff; Handbuch der Linguistik 1975, S. 327). The implications of these findings are expected to provide valuable insights for DaF teachers and curriculum developers in designing more effective learning materials and activities for developing students' communicative competence holistically.

METHOD

This article employs a literature review methodology to analyze and synthesize information relevant to the development of pragmatic competence through listening comprehension in the context of learning German as a Foreign Language (DaF) (Creswell, 2014; Flick, 2015; Patton, 2015; Strauss & Corbin, 1998). This approach

involves a systematic search of various academic sources, including research articles published in scientific journals (Ary et al., 2010; Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011), books on pragmatics (Levinson, 1983; Morris, 1938), second language acquisition (Ellis, 1994; Krashen, 1985), and foreign language teaching methodology (Brown, 2007; Richards & Rodgers, 2014), as well as relevant DaF teaching materials (Kuhn, C. A., Seite 113; Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2). The keywords used in the search include "pragmatic competence," "listening comprehension," "foreign language learning," "German as a Foreign Language," "pragmatics teaching," and "role of oral input" (Bialystok, 1978; Swain, 1985; VanPatten, 2004; Gass & Selinker, 2008).

The data analysis process involves identifying key concepts related to pragmatic competence and listening comprehension (Austin, 1962; Searle, 1969; Grice, 1975; Leech, 1983), comparing and contrasting different theoretical perspectives put forth by experts in the field (Chomsky, 1965; Hymes, 1972; Canale & Swain, 1980; Bachman, 1990), and synthesizing empirical findings from previous research (Long, 1983; Pica, 1994; Schmidt, 1990; Schumann, 1997). The main focus is on understanding how the listening comprehension process, with various types of texts and contexts (Brown & Yule, 1983; Cook, 1989; McCarthy, 1991; Nunan, 1989), can influence learners' pragmatic awareness, their ability to interpret implicit meanings (Sperber & Wilson, 1986; Thomas, 1995; Verschueren, 1999; Mey, 2001), and ultimately, their ability to use German pragmatically appropriately (Bardovi-Harlig, 1999; Kasper & Rose, 2002; Taguchi, 2009; Barron, 2003).

Furthermore, this review also considers the pedagogical implications of the analyzed literature findings (Ausubel, 1968; Bruner, 1966; Vygotsky, 1978; Piaget, 1970). The aim is to identify teaching strategies and types of listening comprehension activities that are most effective in facilitating the development of pragmatic competence in DaF students (Byram, 1997; Kramsch, 1993; Littlewood, 1981; Savignon, 2005). Thus, this literature review methodology not only aims to understand the theoretical relationship between listening comprehension and pragmatic competence but also to generate practical recommendations that can be implemented in the context of German language teaching (Richards, 2006; Scrivener, 2010; Harmer, 2007; Thornbury, 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The origin of the term pragmatics in modern linguistics can be traced back to the philosopher Charles Morris (1938), who defined it as the study of "the relation of signs to their interpreters," distinguishing it from syntax and semantics (Charles Morris, 1938). Since then, various definitions of pragmatics have been proposed, although Steven Levinson (1983) acknowledges that these definitions are rarely entirely satisfactory, and he offers several definitions that function more as a framework for the research area (Steven Levinson, 1983). The definition relevant to this study is Levinson's (1983: 25) view that pragmatics is "the study of the ability of language users to pair sentences with the contexts in which they would be appropriate" [1] (Steven Levinson, 1983: 25). Furthermore, the etymology of the word "pragmatics" from the Greek meaning "deed" or "action" implies that speaking is a

form of action, and pragmatics or pragmalinguistics views utterances as part of non-linguistic actions (Handbuch der Linguistik 1975, S. 327).

The linguistic development known as the "pragmatic turn" led to the emergence or expansion of fields such as sociolinguistics, text linguistics, speech act theory, and pragmatics, with a primary focus on communication (Christina Kuhn, .A., Seite 113). In this context, pragmatics examines language as a means of communication, how language is used in different situations and between different individuals (Levinson, 1994, p. 9), and how communicative goals are achieved through language (Christina Kuhn, .A., Seite 113). Language utterances are not only considered manifestations of the language system but also as a means to influence or achieve something with communication partners (Kuhn, C. A., Seite 113). Levinson concludes that pragmatics views language as action in the specific context in which the utterance is made (Steven Levinson, 1994, p. 9). A simple dialogue such as: A: "Is Mrs. Beyer already here?" B: "The red Golf is in the parking lot," can only be understood if there is contextual knowledge that Mrs. Beyer always drives a red Golf and parks it in the same place (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2). Successful communication depends on shared knowledge between participants (Steven Levinson, 1994, p. 9). Therefore, mastering grammar and vocabulary alone is not sufficient for effective communication without understanding the context or pragmatic competence (Steven Levinson, 1994, p. 9).

Pragmatic competence involves the ability to assess the appropriateness of context, success, and achievement of the goals of an utterance, in contrast to grammatical competence, which focuses on structural correctness and literal meaning (Steven Levinson, 1994, p. 9). Pragmatic competence includes context-dependent aspects of language structure as well as principles of language use and understanding (Steven Levinson, 1994, p. 9). Pragmatics and pragmatic competence are tied to cultural contexts and must be considered within those frameworks (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2; Böhme 2003). Pragmatic competence is the ability to use language communicatively (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2). A simple illustration shows the importance of pragmatic competence: a child who says to their mother's friend, "You shouldn't eat that cake, you'll get even fatter!" may not intend to be rude because they have not yet understood how to use language appropriately in social situations (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2).

Pragmatic competence manifests in everyday language use for various purposes, such as greeting, giving information, commanding, promising, or requesting (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2; Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna, Orientalische Universität). This competence is also evident when someone adapts their language to the needs of the listener and the situation, for example, speaking differently to a small child compared to an adult (Böhme 2003), or using different language in a daycare setting compared to at home (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2). In pragmatic competence, a distinction is made between illocutionary competence (the ability to understand the intent behind

an utterance) and sociolinguistic competence (the ability to use language appropriately according to social and cultural norms) (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2; Ernst, 2002, pp. 1ff). Considering that many children, especially migrant children learning a second language, may not receive adequate literacy education from their parents, it is very important to provide them with plenty of language stimulation and speaking opportunities to practice the new language and pragmatic competence (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2). The pragmatic-communicative level relates to the use of language in interpersonal situations and the appropriate use of language in various contexts (Böhme 2003). A child needs to learn to use socially accepted forms of communication such as "please" and "thank you" when wanting something, or to address adults politely using "Sie" in German (Böhme 2003). The ability to express oneself linguistically correctly includes prosodic, linguistic, and pragmatic competence (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2). Language acquisition is closely linked to the ability to understand and apply categories and rules to understand what is said and to form comprehensible sentences (Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

This literature review confirms that listening comprehension plays a highly significant role in the development of pragmatic competence in students of German as a Foreign Language (DaF). Pragmatic competence, which involves the ability to understand and use language contextually and in accordance with sociocultural norms, is an essential component of holistic communicative ability (Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna, Orientalische Universität; Levinson, 1983). Through exposure to authentic and diverse oral input, DaF learners can develop an awareness of the pragmatic nuances of the German language, including implicatures, speech acts, and discourse markers that are often not explicitly stated in grammatical or lexical rules (Levinson, 1994, p. 9; Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2). The ability to process and interpret pragmatic information while listening becomes a crucial foundation for developing the ability to produce pragmatically appropriate utterances (Böhme 2003; Kuhn, C. A., Seite 113). Therefore, the effective integration of listening comprehension skill development and pragmatic competence in the DaF curriculum is crucial to equip students with the ability to communicate effectively and appropriately in various German-speaking contexts (Ernst, 2002, pp. 1ff; Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2).

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this review, several recommendations can be made to enhance the development of pragmatic competence in DaF students through listening comprehension:

1. **Integration of Authentic Listening Materials:** The DaF curriculum needs to integrate more authentic and diverse listening materials, such as everyday

conversations, interviews, podcasts, and recordings of relevant sociocultural situations (Nunan, 1989; Richards, 2006). These materials should be selected not only based on linguistic difficulty but also on the richness of the pragmatic contexts presented (Brown & Yule, 1983; Cook, 1989).

2. **Focus on Pragmatic Aspects in Listening Activities:** Listening comprehension activities should be designed not only to test the understanding of factual information but also to encourage students to identify and interpret pragmatic aspects such as speaker intent, speech acts, implicatures, and the use of discourse markers (Celce-Murcia, 2007; Kasper & Rose, 2002). Post-listening discussions focusing on pragmatic interpretation can be very beneficial.

3. **Use of Active Learning Strategies:** Teachers can employ active learning strategies that involve students in analyzing recording transcripts, comparing different pragmatic interpretations, and reflecting on cultural differences in language use (Byram, 1997; Kramsch, 1993). Simulations and role-playing based on heard situations can also help reinforce the understanding and application of pragmatic competence.

4. **Metacognitive Awareness:** Encouraging students to develop metacognitive awareness about the listening comprehension process and the identification of pragmatic elements can enhance their independent learning abilities (Flavell, 1979; Oxford, 1990). This can be done through self-reflection, strategy discussions, and constructive feedback from the teacher.

5. **Further Research:** Further research is needed to empirically explore the effectiveness of various types of listening materials and activities in developing the pragmatic competence of DaF students in different learning contexts. Longitudinal studies could also provide deeper insights into the development of this competence over time.

By implementing these recommendations, it is hoped that the development of pragmatic competence in DaF students through listening comprehension can become more effective, preparing them to communicate more competently and appropriately in the real world of German language use.

REFERENCE

Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Sorensen, C., & Razavieh, A. (2010). Introduction to research in education (8th ed.). Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Austin, J. L. (1962). How to do things with words. Oxford University Press.

Ausubel, D. P. (1968). Educational psychology: A cognitive view. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Bachman, L. F. (1990). Fundamental considerations in language testing. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Bardovi-Harlig, K. (1999). Researching pragmatics in interlanguage: Methodological issues. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 21(1), 31-56.

Barron, A. (2003). Acquiring pragmatic competence in a second language. John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Bialystok, E. (1978). A theoretical model of second language learning. Language Learning, 28(1), 69-83.

Böhme, K. (2003). Sprachförderung im Kindergarten. Ökotopia Verlag.

Brown, H. D. (2007). Principles of language learning and teaching (5th ed.). Pearson Education.

Brown, G., & Yule, G. (1983). Discourse analysis. Cambridge University Press.

Bruner, J. S. (1966). Toward a theory of instruction. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Byram, M. (1997). Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence. Multilingual Matters.

Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. Applied Linguistics, 1(1), 1-47.

Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the theory of syntax. MIT Press.

Cook, G. (1989). Discourse. Oxford University Press.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ellis, R. (1994). The study of second language acquisition. Oxford University Press.

Ernst, P. (2002). Einführung in die Pragmatik. WBG Academic.

Flick, U. (2015). Introducing research methodology: A beginner's guide to doing a research project (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Gass, S. M., & Selinker, L. (2008). Second language acquisition: An introductory course (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), Syntax and semantics, Vol. 3: Speech acts (pp. 41-58). Academic Press.

Handbuch der Linguistik. (1975). Hueber.

Harmer, J. (2007). The practice of English language teaching (4th ed.). Pearson Longman.

Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), Sociolinguistics: Selected readings (pp. 269-293). Penguin Books.

Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). Pragmatic development in a second language. Language Learning, 52(Supplement 1), 1-68.

Krashen, S. D. (1985). The input hypothesis: Issues and implications. Longman.

Kramsch, C. (1993). Context and culture in language teaching. Oxford University Press.

Kuhn, C. A. Fremdsprachen berufsorientiert lernen und lehren. Kommunikative Anforderungen der Arbeitswelt und Konzepte für den Unterricht und die Lehrerausbildung am Beispiel des Deutschen als Fremdsprache.

Leech, G. N. (1983). Principles of pragmatics. Longman.

Levinson, S. C. (1983). Pragmatics. Cambridge University Press.

Levinson, S. C. (1994). Pragmatik. Niemeyer.

Littlewood, W. (1981). Communicative language teaching: An introduction. Cambridge University Press.

Long, M. H. (1983). Native speaker/non-native speaker conversation and the negotiation of comprehensible input. Applied Linguistics, 4(2), 126-141.

McCarthy, M. (1991). Discourse analysis for language teachers. Cambridge University Press.

Mey, J. L. (2001). Pragmatics: An introduction (2nd ed.). Blackwell Publishing.

Morris, C. W. (1938). Foundations of the theory of signs. In O. Neurath, R. Carnap, & C. Morris (Eds.), International encyclopedia of unified science (Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 77-138). University of Chicago Press.

Nunan, D. (1989). Designing tasks for the communicative classroom. Cambridge University Press.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Piaget, J. (1970). Genetic epistemology. Columbia University Press.

Pica, T. (1994). Input, interaction, and negotiation: Key issues in second language acquisition. TESOL Quarterly, 28(3), 453-476.

Qualifizierung von Sprachförderkräften in Rheinland-Pfalz Selbstlernmaterialien zu Modul 2 Wahrnehmung und Beschreibung kindlicher Sprachentwicklungsprozesse I.

Richards, J. C. (2006). Communicative language teaching today. Cambridge University Press.

Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). Approaches and methods in language teaching (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Saidova Makhsudakhon Abbasovna. DIE ENTWICKLUNG DER PRAGMATISCHEN KOMPETENZ DURCH HÖRVERSTEHEN BEI DEN STUDIERENDEN IM DAF. Orientalische Universität.

Savignon, S. J. (2005). Interpreting communicative language teaching: Contexts and concerns in teacher education. Yale University Press.

Schmidt, R. (1990). The role of consciousness in second language acquisition. Applied Linguistics, 11(2), 129-158.

Schumann, J. H. (1997). The neurobiology of affect in language. Blackwell Publishing.

Scrivener, J. (2010). Teaching English grammar: What to teach and how to teach it. Macmillan Education.

Searle, J. R. (1969). Speech acts: An essay in the philosophy of language. Cambridge University Press.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1986). Relevance: Communication and cognition. Blackwell Publishing.

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development. In S. M. Gass & C. G. Madden (Eds.), Input in second language acquisition (pp. 235-253). Newbury House Publishers.

Taguchi, N. (2009). Pragmatic competence. Edinburgh University Press.

Thomas, J. (1995). Meaning in interaction: An introduction to pragmatics. Longman.

Thornbury, S. (2005). How to teach speaking. Longman.

VanPatten, B. (2004). Input processing in second language acquisition. John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Verschueren, J. (1999). Understanding pragmatics. Arnold.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.