International Journal of Business, Law, and Education
E-ISSN : 2747-1349
Publisher: IJBLE Scientific Publications Community Inc.
Volume 5, Number 2, 2024
https://ijble.com/index.php/journal/index

# The Nexus Between Data-Driven Decision-Making, Market Responsiveness, and Strategic Alliances in Boosting Business Growth of Start-Up Companies

**Zeanette T Lisbet[1], Fachmi Al Faroqi[2], Feby Evelyna[3], Donny Juliandri Prihadi[4]**
Universitas Ichsan Satya[1], Universitas Muhammadiyah A.R. Fachruddin[2], Universitas Putra Bangsa[3], Universitas Padjajaran[4]
lisbetzeanette@gmail.com[1], fachmialfaroqi@unimar.ac.id[2], febyevelyna@gmail.com[3], donny.juliandri.prihadi@unpad.ac.id[4]

**ABSTRACT**
This study explores the nexus between data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances in fostering business growth among start-up companies. Utilizing a quantitative research design, data were collected through surveys distributed to start-up firms, resulting in a sample that highlights the relationships among key constructs. The analysis, conducted using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), reveals that data-driven decision-making significantly influences business growth, with market responsiveness acting as a mediator and strategic alliances serving as a moderator in this relationship. The findings underscore the importance of leveraging data analytics to enhance responsiveness to market dynamics and establishing strategic partnerships to optimize growth outcomes. This research provides actionable insights for start-ups aiming to navigate competitive environments and drive sustainable growth.

**Keywords:**
Data-Driven Decision-Making; Market Responsiveness; Strategic Alliance; Business Growth; Start-Up Companies

## INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly evolving business environment, start-up companies must navigate an increasingly complex and competitive market. To survive and thrive, these businesses need to employ effective strategies that promote growth, adaptability, and innovation. One of the most significant trends reshaping the competitive landscape for start-ups is the shift toward data-driven decision-making (DDD). The ability to leverage large volumes of data for strategic decisions offers organizations insights that were previously unattainable, enabling them to understand market trends, customer behaviors, and operational inefficiencies with greater clarity. Data-driven decision-making, when combined with agile market responsiveness and well-crafted strategic alliances, offers a potent recipe for enhancing the competitive edge of start-ups and driving sustainable business growth (McAfee *et al.*, 2012).

Data-driven decision-making has become an essential component for start-ups, particularly as they face the challenge of scaling their operations in an unpredictable market environment. By integrating data analytics into their decision-making processes, start-ups can identify patterns and predict market shifts that would otherwise be difficult to detect (Provost, 2013). This approach enables business leaders to make informed choices based on empirical evidence rather than intuition alone, thereby reducing uncertainty and enhancing the likelihood of success. Moreover, companies that adopt data-driven strategies often demonstrate higher levels of organizational performance, better customer satisfaction, and improved market positioning (Germann, Lilien and Rangaswamy, 2013). The nexus between data-driven decision-making and market responsiveness becomes particularly

important in start-up ecosystems, where adaptability and speed are key drivers of competitive advantage.

The concept of market responsiveness refers to a company's ability to swiftly and effectively respond to changing market conditions, customer demands, and competitive pressures (Jaworski and Kohli, 1993). For start-ups, being market-responsive is not merely an option but a necessity. In the early stages of business development, customer preferences can shift dramatically, and new competitors may enter the market unexpectedly. By continuously monitoring external factors and leveraging data to detect emerging trends, start-ups can pivot their business models, refine product offerings, and optimize customer experiences in real-time (Narver and Slater, 1990). This ability to adapt quickly to market changes has been shown to enhance business growth, as companies that are more attuned to their environments tend to outperform less responsive competitors (Mu and Di Benedetto, 2011).

Strategic alliances further complement data-driven decision-making and market responsiveness by allowing start-ups to expand their resources, capabilities, and market reach. Forming partnerships with other businesses, whether they are within the same industry or in complementary sectors, enables start-ups to access new markets, share technological know-how, and reduce operational risks (Todeva and Knoke, 2005). Strategic alliances have been recognized as critical enablers of innovation and growth, especially for start-ups that often lack the financial and organizational resources to compete independently in the global marketplace (Dyer and Singh, 1998). By forging alliances, start-ups can leverage their partners' strengths and expertise, creating synergies that propel their business growth beyond what would have been possible through internal efforts alone (Eisenhardt and Schoonhoven, 1996).

This research explores the nexus between data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances in boosting business growth for start-up companies. While previous studies have examined the individual effects of these factors on business performance, the interrelationships between them remain underexplored, particularly in the context of start-ups. Data-driven decision-making empowers start-ups to make well-informed strategic decisions, while market responsiveness enhances their ability to react swiftly to external changes, and strategic alliances extend their capabilities and market presence. Understanding how these three elements work in tandem is crucial for identifying the pathways through which start-ups can achieve sustained growth in an increasingly competitive and uncertain market environment.

The rise of big data analytics has transformed how businesses operate, providing them with unprecedented access to detailed information about their customers, competitors, and internal processes (Marr, 2015). For start-ups, which often face resource constraints, the ability to utilize data effectively can mean the difference between success and failure. Data-driven decision-making allows these companies to make more accurate predictions, optimize their operations, and tailor their products or services to meet the specific needs of their target markets. However, to fully capitalize on the benefits of data-driven strategies, start-ups must also maintain high levels of market responsiveness, as being able to interpret and act on data insights in a timely manner is key to staying ahead of competitors (Chae, 2015). In addition, strategic alliances provide start-ups with the flexibility to explore new

opportunities and expand their market reach without overextending their limited resources (Gulati, 1998).

Furthermore, as the business environment becomes increasingly interconnected, the role of strategic alliances in promoting business growth cannot be overstated. Start-ups often struggle to scale their operations and compete with larger, more established firms. By partnering with other businesses, start-ups can pool their resources and share knowledge, allowing them to innovate more effectively and reach new customers (Alvarez and Barney, 2001). These partnerships can also facilitate the rapid dissemination of new technologies, enabling start-ups to keep pace with technological advancements and maintain their competitive edge (Hagedoorn, 2002). Therefore, the combination of data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances presents a powerful framework for fostering business growth in the start-up ecosystem.

The nexus between data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances holds significant potential for boosting the business growth of start-up companies. This research aims to examine the interrelationships between these three factors and explore how their combined effects contribute to the success of start-ups. By analyzing these elements in an integrated manner, this study seeks to provide valuable insights into the strategies that start-ups can adopt to enhance their competitiveness, achieve sustainable growth, and thrive in today's dynamic business environment.

## Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

### 1. Data-Driven Decision-Making and Business Growth

Data-driven decision-making (DDD) refers to the use of data analytics and empirical evidence to guide organizational decisions. For start-ups, which often operate in uncertain environments with limited resources, leveraging data can significantly enhance their decision-making processes (Provost, 2013). Start-ups that utilize data analytics are better equipped to identify trends, forecast market changes, and optimize their operations, leading to improved efficiency and growth outcomes (Brynjolfsson and McElheran, 2016). The use of data-driven insights allows companies to mitigate risks, allocate resources more effectively, and identify customer needs more accurately, fostering innovation and customer satisfaction (McAfee *et al.*, 2012).

Research suggests that businesses adopting data-driven strategies exhibit superior financial performance and operational efficiency compared to their less data-driven counterparts (Germann, Lilien and Rangaswamy, 2013). For start-ups, the ability to analyze and act on real-time data can be a critical determinant of success, enabling them to respond quickly to market opportunities and challenges (Chae, 2015). The positive relationship between data-driven decision-making and business growth is supported by empirical studies showing that data-centric firms tend to outperform those that rely on intuition or experience-based decision-making alone (Brynjolfsson and McElheran, 2016). Thus, the following hypothesis is proposed: H1: Data-driven decision-making has a positive effect on the business growth of start-up companies.

### 2. Market Responsiveness and Business Growth

Market responsiveness refers to a firm's ability to adapt quickly to changing market conditions, customer preferences, and competitive pressures. Start-ups operate in highly dynamic environments where customer needs and market trends can shift rapidly, making market responsiveness a crucial component of business strategy

(Kohli and Jaworski, 1990). Being market-responsive enables companies to modify their products, services, and strategies to align with evolving demands, ultimately contributing to sustained growth (Mu and Di Benedetto, 2011).

The link between market responsiveness and business performance has been well established in the literature. Firms that can detect changes in the external environment and adjust their strategies accordingly are more likely to achieve superior business outcomes (Narver and Slater, 1990). For start-ups, this capability is particularly vital as they often lack the brand recognition, customer loyalty, and resources that larger firms possess. The ability to pivot quickly in response to market signals allows start-ups to capture new opportunities and stay ahead of competitors, driving business growth. Given the importance of adaptability in the survival and growth of start-ups, the following hypothesis is proposed: H2: Market responsiveness has a positive effect on the business growth of start-up companies.

**3. Strategic Alliances and Business Growth**

Strategic alliances are collaborative agreements between two or more firms aimed at achieving shared objectives while maintaining organizational independence (Todeva and Knoke, 2005). For start-ups, forming strategic alliances can be a powerful tool for accessing new resources, markets, and technologies. These partnerships allow smaller firms to pool their capabilities with those of larger or more established firms, creating synergies that enhance their competitive positioning and drive growth (Dyer and Singh, 1998).

The literature on strategic alliances highlights their role in fostering innovation, reducing costs, and expanding market reach (Alvarez and Barney, 2001). Start-ups often lack the internal resources needed to scale their operations independently. By collaborating with other firms, they can overcome resource constraints and leverage external expertise, resulting in improved business performance (Gulati, 1998). Strategic alliances also enable start-ups to mitigate risks by sharing the costs of research and development (R&D) and entering new markets with reduced financial exposure (Hagedoorn and Duysters, 2002). Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed: H3: Strategic alliances have a positive effect on the business growth of start-up companies.

**4. Mediating Role of Market Responsiveness**

Market responsiveness is not only a direct driver of business growth but also plays a crucial mediating role in the relationship between data-driven decision-making and business performance. When start-ups adopt data-driven decision-making processes, they are better equipped to understand and respond to market changes in real-time (Chae, 2015). Data-driven insights enable companies to anticipate customer needs and preferences, allowing them to adjust their strategies more effectively and swiftly. This enhanced responsiveness, in turn, leads to better market alignment and improved business outcomes (McAfee *et al.*, 2012).

The mediating role of market responsiveness can be understood through the lens of dynamic capabilities theory, which suggests that firms' ability to sense and respond to market opportunities is critical for sustaining competitive advantage (Teece, 2010). Data-driven decision-making enhances a firm's sensing capability by providing timely and accurate information about market trends. This capability, coupled with a high level of market responsiveness, allows firms to capitalize on opportunities that may otherwise be missed (Mu and Di Benedetto, 2011). Thus, the following hypothesis is proposed: H4: Market responsiveness mediates the

relationship between data-driven decision-making and the business growth of start-up companies.

**5. Moderating Role of Strategic Alliances**

Strategic alliances can also play a moderating role in the relationship between data-driven decision-making and business growth. Start-ups that engage in strategic partnerships are better positioned to implement data-driven strategies effectively. Partnerships with larger firms or those with specialized capabilities can provide start-ups with access to advanced data analytics tools, market intelligence, and technical expertise, enhancing their ability to derive actionable insights from data (Dyer, Kale and Singh, 2001).

Strategic alliances may also amplify the impact of market responsiveness on business growth by providing start-ups with the resources and networks needed to act on data-driven insights quickly (Gulati, 1998). For example, an alliance with a supply chain partner might enable a start-up to adjust production or distribution processes in response to real-time market data, improving its responsiveness and overall performance. As such, strategic alliances serve as both enablers and accelerators of data-driven decision-making and market responsiveness (Hagedoorn and Cloodt, 2003). Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed: H5: Strategic alliances moderate the relationship between data-driven decision-making and business growth, such that the relationship is stronger for start-ups with strategic alliances.

## METHOD

**1. Research Design**

This study employs a quantitative research design using a survey-based approach to collect data from start-up companies. As a cross-sectional study, data is gathered at a single point in time, which allows for an examination of relationships between variables without requiring repeated measures over time. The primary constructs investigated in this research include data-driven decision-making (independent variable), market responsiveness (mediator), strategic alliances (moderator), and business growth (dependent variable).

The conceptual framework is grounded in prior literature that emphasizes the critical role of data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances in driving business growth (Dyer, Kale and Singh, 2001; McAfee *et al.*, 2012). The model suggests that data-driven decision-making enhances business growth, with market responsiveness acting as a mediator in this relationship. Additionally, strategic alliances are hypothesized to moderate the impact of data-driven decision-making on business growth, potentially amplifying the positive effects when such partnerships are leveraged effectively.

**2. Sampling and Data Collection**

The target population for this study consists of start-up companies operating in various industries, including technology, e-commerce, and fintech. The inclusion criteria for start-ups are companies that have been in operation for fewer than 10 years, have less than 200 employees, and are actively engaged in growth-oriented activities such as seeking venture capital or scaling their operations. A purposive sampling technique is employed to identify start-ups that meet these criteria, ensuring that the sample reflects companies likely to engage in data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances. A minimum sample size of 150 respondents is targeted, as recommended for PLS-SEM studies to ensure adequate

statistical power (Hair *et al.*, 2010). Data is collected through an online questionnaire distributed via email and social media platforms frequented by start-up founders and managers.

## 3. Measurement of Variables

Each of the key constructs in this study is measured using multi-item scales adapted from established studies to ensure both validity and reliability. All items are rated on a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 ("Strongly Disagree") to 7 ("Strongly Agree"). Data-driven decision-making is measured with five items adapted from Germann, Lilien and Rangaswamy (2013), focusing on how start-ups use data analytics, machine learning, and empirical insights to guide their decisions. Market responsiveness, on the other hand, is assessed using five items based on Jaworski and Kohli (1993) work, which captures a company's ability to detect and respond to market shifts and customer needs in real-time.

Strategic alliances are evaluated using four items adapted from Gulati (1998), which assess the depth and effectiveness of partnerships with other firms for resource sharing, market expansion, and technological collaboration. Lastly, business growth is measured through four items adapted from Delmar, Davidsson and Gartner (2003), encompassing indicators such as growth in revenue, market share, customer base, and operational scale. This structured approach ensures comprehensive coverage of each construct's influence on start-up growth.

## 4. Data Analysis

Data analysis will be conducted using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) with the SmartPLS software. PLS-SEM is chosen due to its ability to handle complex models with both formative and reflective constructs, as well as its suitability for predictive analysis in exploratory research contexts (Hair Jr *et al.*, 2011). The analysis will follow a two-step approach: the assessment of the measurement model and the structural model.

The measurement model will evaluate the reliability and validity of the constructs using several key metrics. Internal consistency reliability will be assessed through Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability (CR), with values above 0.7 indicating acceptable reliability (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994). Convergent validity will be measured by the average variance extracted (AVE), with a threshold of 0.5, meaning that more than 50% of the variance in the indicators is explained by the construct (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). Discriminant validity will be tested using the Fornell-Larcker criterion and the heterotrait-monotrait (HTMT) ratio, where HTMT values below 0.9 signify that constructs are sufficiently distinct from each other (Henseler, Ringle and Sarstedt, 2015). These evaluations will ensure the robustness of the constructs in the model.

Once the measurement model meets the required thresholds, the structural model will be assessed to test the hypotheses. Path coefficients between the independent and dependent variables will be evaluated for significance using bootstrapping with 5000 resamples (Hair Jr *et al.*, 2011). The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) will indicate the variance in business growth explained by data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances, with $R^2$ values of 0.25 considered weak, 0.50 moderate, and 0.75 substantial (Hair Jr *et al.*, 2011). Effect size ($f^2$) will also be calculated to determine the magnitude of each independent variable's impact, where $f^2$ values of 0.02, 0.15, and 0.35 represent small, medium, and large effects, respectively (Cohen, Towbes and Flocco, 1988). Finally,

the model's predictive relevance will be assessed using the Stone-Geisser $Q^2$ test, with $Q^2$ values greater than zero indicating the model's ability to predict endogenous constructs (Enis and Geisser, 1974).

To test the mediating effect of market responsiveness, the bootstrapping procedure will be used to examine the indirect effect of data-driven decision-making on business growth through market responsiveness. Mediation will be confirmed if the indirect effect is significant and the confidence intervals do not contain zero (Preacher and Hayes, 2004). The moderation effect of strategic alliances will be tested by creating an interaction term between data-driven decision-making and strategic alliances. The significance of this interaction term will indicate whether strategic alliances moderate the relationship between data-driven decision-making and business growth (Baron and Kenny, 1986).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Measurement Model Assessment

The measurement model was evaluated for internal consistency reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. Table 1 presents the results for Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability (CR), and average variance extracted (AVE) for all constructs.

***Table 1.*** *Internal Consistency Reliability and Convergent Validity*

| Construct | Cronbach's Alpha | Composite Reliabiliy | AVE |
|---|---|---|---|
| Data-Driven Decision-Making | 0,853 | 0,902 | 0,649 |
| Market Responsiveness | 0,829 | 0,890 | 0,620 |
| Strategic Alliances | 0,801 | 0,868 | 0,575 |
| Business Growth | 0.871 | 0,916 | 0,683 |

Source: Data Processed by Author, 2024

All constructs exceeded the recommended thresholds for reliability and validity (Cronbach's alpha and CR > 0.7, AVE > 0.5). These results indicate strong internal consistency and convergent validity.

Discriminant validity was assessed using the Fornell-Larcker criterion and the Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) ratio. The Fornell-Larcker results showed that each construct's square root of AVE was higher than its correlations with other constructs, indicating discriminant validity. Additionally, all HTMT values were below 0.9, further confirming discriminant validity.

***Table 2.*** *Fornell-Larcker Criterion*

| Construct | DDDM | MR | SA | BG |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Data-Driven Decision-Making | 0,805 | | | |
| Market Responsiveness | 0,612 | 0,788 | | |
| Strategic Alliances | 0,579 | 0,587 | 0,758 | |
| Business Growth | 0.635 | 0,682 | 0,611 | 0,826 |

Source: Data Processed by Author, 2024

### 2. Structural Model Assessment

The structural model was evaluated by examining path coefficients, $R^2$ values, effect sizes ($f^2$), and predictive relevance ($Q^2$). Bootstrapping with 5000 subsamples was used to determine the significance of the path coefficients.

***Table 3.*** *Path Coefficients and Significance*

| Path | Coefficient | t-value | p-value | Decision |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Data-Driven Decision-Making → Business Growth | 0,353 | 4,123 | 0,000 | Supported |
| Data-Driven Decision-Making → Market Responsiveness | 0,427 | 5,652 | 0,000 | Supported |
| Market Responsiveness → Business Growth | 0,469 | 6,202 | 0,000 | Supported |
| Data-Driven Decision-Making × Strategic Alliances → Business Growth | 0211 | 3,458 | 0,001 | Supported |

Source: Data Processed by Author, 2024

The results show that data-driven decision-making has a significant positive effect on business growth ($\beta = 0.353$, $p < 0.001$) and market responsiveness ($\beta = 0.427$, $p < 0.001$). Market responsiveness also significantly influences business growth ($\beta = 0.469$, $p < 0.001$). The moderating effect of strategic alliances on the relationship between data-driven decision-making and business growth was also found to be significant ($\beta = 0.211$, $p = 0.001$).

### 3. Coefficient of Determination ($R^2$)

The $R^2$ values indicate the proportion of variance explained by the independent variables. For business growth, the $R^2$ value was 0.618, indicating that 61.8% of the variance in business growth is explained by data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances.

***Table 4****. $R^2$ Values*

| Dependent Variable | $R^2$ |
|---|---|
| Business Growth | 0,618 |
| Market Responsiveness | 0,546 |

Source: Data Processed by Author, 2024

### 4. Effect Size ($f^2$)

Effect sizes ($f^2$) were calculated to determine the magnitude of the impact of each independent variable on business growth. As shown in Table 5, the effect sizes range from small to medium, with market responsiveness having the largest effect on business growth.

***Table 5.*** *Effect Sizes ($f^2$)*

| Path | $f^2$ Value | Effect Size |
|---|---|---|
| Data-Driven Decision-Making → Business Growth | 0,145 | Medium |
| Market Responsiveness → Business Growth | 0,258 | Medium |
| Data-Driven Decision-Making → Market Responsiveness | 0,182 | Medium |
| Strategic Alliances (moderation) → Business Growth | 0,089 | Small |

Source: Data Processed by Author, 2024

### 5. Predictive Relevance ($Q^2$)

The Stone-Geisser $Q^2$ test was conducted to assess the model's predictive relevance. The $Q^2$ value for business growth was 0.341, indicating that the model has strong predictive relevance.

***Table 6****. Predictive Relevance ($Q^2$)*

| Dependent Variable | $Q^2$ |
|---|---|
| Business Growth | 0,341 |
| Market Responsiveness | 0,298 |

Source: Data Processed by Author, 2024

## Discussion

This study sought to examine the relationship between data-driven decision-making (DDDM), market responsiveness, strategic alliances, and business growth in start-up companies. By applying Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) and conducting a cross-sectional survey, this research provides insights into how these factors interrelate and their overall impact on the growth of start-ups. The results confirm the hypothesized relationships, emphasizing the critical role of data-driven strategies and market responsiveness in driving business performance, while strategic alliances significantly moderate these effects.

### 1. The Role of Data-Driven Decision-Making in Business Growth

The findings suggest that data-driven decision-making (DDDM) has a direct and positive impact on business growth, with a significant path coefficient ($\beta = 0.353$, $p < 0.001$). This result aligns with previous literature emphasizing the importance of DDDM in enhancing organizational outcomes (Brynjolfsson and McElheran, 2016). Start-up companies, in particular, can benefit from data analytics, artificial intelligence, and other data-driven tools to make more informed decisions, which can lead to increased market share, improved customer satisfaction, and overall business growth.

DDDM enables companies to leverage real-time insights into consumer behavior, market trends, and operational performance, thereby fostering agility and competitiveness (Germann, Lilien and Rangaswamy, 2013). In a highly volatile market environment, start-ups that harness the power of data can more effectively identify growth opportunities, optimize resource allocation, and minimize risks. Moreover, the ability to make quick, data-backed decisions is critical in the start-up ecosystem, where time-to-market and adaptability are essential for success.

### 2. The Mediating Role of Market Responsiveness

Market responsiveness emerged as a significant mediator between DDDM and business growth ($\beta = 0.469$, $p < 0.001$), reinforcing the idea that a company's ability to respond to market changes is crucial for converting data-driven insights into tangible business outcomes. This finding supports prior studies, which assert that responsiveness to market demands enhances a firm's ability to deliver value to customers and adapt to competitive pressures (Jaworski and Kohli, 1993).

For start-ups, the ability to respond swiftly to changes in consumer preferences, technological advancements, or regulatory environments can be a key differentiator in achieving sustainable growth. In this study, market responsiveness not only plays a direct role in driving business growth but also acts as a mechanism through which DDDM exerts its influence. This highlights the importance of integrating data-driven processes with a market-oriented strategy, ensuring that the insights generated are translated into actions that address customer needs and capitalize on market opportunities.

The results suggest that while DDDM can inform strategic choices, its effectiveness is largely dependent on the organization's capability to implement those insights through timely and appropriate market actions. Start-ups with a higher degree of market responsiveness can better align their product offerings, marketing strategies, and operational processes with real-time shifts in demand, leading to superior performance outcomes.

### 3. The Moderating Role of Strategic Alliances

The moderating effect of strategic alliances on the relationship between DDDM and business growth was also significant ($\beta = 0.211$, $p = 0.001$), indicating that

partnerships with other firms can enhance the benefits derived from data-driven strategies. This finding is consistent with research that emphasizes the role of strategic alliances in fostering innovation, resource sharing, and market expansion (Dyer, Kale and Singh, 2001).

Strategic alliances provide start-ups with access to complementary resources, such as technology, expertise, and market networks, that may not be available internally. In particular, partnerships with established firms or other start-ups can help smaller companies scale their operations more quickly and efficiently. In the context of DDDM, strategic alliances can also facilitate the acquisition of external data sources, technology platforms, or analytical capabilities that enhance a start-up's ability to extract valuable insights from data.

This study highlights that while DDDM has a direct effect on business growth, the presence of strong strategic alliances can amplify this effect by providing additional resources and capabilities. Start-ups that actively engage in alliances with partners can thus create synergistic benefits that extend beyond their internal data capabilities, allowing for more effective execution of data-driven strategies. The moderating effect of strategic alliances also underscores the importance of collaboration and networking in the start-up ecosystem, where resource constraints often necessitate external support to achieve growth objectives.

## 4. Implications of Theory

The findings of this study contribute to the literature on start-up performance and strategy in several ways. First, by empirically validating the direct and indirect relationships between DDDM, market responsiveness, and business growth, this research extends the understanding of how data-driven practices contribute to organizational outcomes. Previous studies have largely focused on established firms, but this study sheds light on how start-ups—characterized by limited resources and dynamic environments—can leverage data-driven decision-making for growth.

Second, the study adds to the growing body of research that emphasizes the mediating role of market responsiveness in linking strategic decision-making processes to performance outcomes. The results suggest that while data-driven insights are valuable, their impact on growth is contingent upon the firm’s ability to act on those insights in a timely and market-relevant manner. This has important theoretical implications for the integration of data-driven strategies with market orientation and agility theories.

Last, the study provides empirical evidence for the moderating role of strategic alliances, highlighting the importance of external collaborations in enhancing the effectiveness of data-driven strategies. This finding contributes to the literature on resource-based and relational views of the firm, suggesting that partnerships can play a critical role in maximizing the value derived from internal capabilities such as data analytics.

## 5. Practical Implications

From a practical standpoint, the findings offer several actionable insights for start-up companies. First, investing in data-driven decision-making capabilities should be a priority for start-ups seeking to enhance their competitive edge. This includes not only acquiring the necessary technological tools and data infrastructure but also fostering a culture of data-driven thinking among employees and leadership.

Second, start-ups should prioritize market responsiveness as a strategic capability. Developing systems and processes that enable real-time monitoring of

market trends, customer feedback, and competitive actions will allow companies to act quickly and effectively on data-driven insights. This could involve adopting agile methodologies, integrating customer feedback loops, and maintaining close communication with key stakeholders.

Third, the study underscores the importance of forming strategic alliances. Start-ups should actively seek partnerships that can provide access to new markets, technologies, and resources that complement their internal capabilities. By leveraging external collaborations, start-ups can overcome resource limitations and accelerate their growth trajectories.

### 6. Limitations and Future Research

While this study provides valuable insights, it is not without limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the data limits the ability to draw causal inferences. Future research could employ longitudinal designs to capture the dynamic relationships between DDDM, market responsiveness, strategic alliances, and business growth over time. Second, the study focused exclusively on start-up companies, which limits the generalizability of the findings to other types of organizations. Future studies could explore whether the relationships observed in this study hold in larger, more established firms or in different industries. Lastly, the study did not account for potential contextual factors, such as industry-specific characteristics, competitive intensity, or regulatory environments, which could influence the relationships between the variables. Future research could explore how these contextual factors moderate the effects of DDDM, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances on business growth.

## CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that data-driven decision-making, market responsiveness, and strategic alliances are critical drivers of business growth for start-up companies. Data-driven strategies directly enhance growth, but their impact is significantly mediated by the firm's ability to respond swiftly to market changes and customer demands. Moreover, strategic alliances amplify the effectiveness of data-driven decision-making by providing access to external resources and capabilities. These findings offer valuable insights for start-ups, emphasizing the importance of leveraging data analytics, fostering agility, and building collaborative partnerships to achieve sustainable growth in competitive markets.

## Reference

Alvarez, S.A. and Barney, J.B. (2001) 'How entrepreneurial firms can benefit from alliances with large partners', *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 15(1), pp. 139–148.

Baron, R.M. and Kenny, D.A. (1986) 'The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations.', *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 51(6), p. 1173.

Brynjolfsson, E. and McElheran, K. (2016) *Data in action: Data-driven decision making in US manufacturing*. University of Toronto-Rotman School of Management.

Chae, B.K. (2015) 'Insights from hashtag# supplychain and Twitter Analytics: Considering Twitter and Twitter data for supply chain practice and research', *International Journal of Production Economics*, 165, pp. 247–259.

Cohen, L.H., Towbes, L.C. and Flocco, R. (1988) 'Effects of induced mood on self-reported life events and perceived and received social support.', *Journal of*

*personality and social psychology*, 55(4), p. 669.
Delmar, F., Davidsson, P. and Gartner, W.B. (2003) 'Arriving at the high-growth firm', *Journal of business venturing*, 18(2), pp. 189–216.
Dyer, J.H., Kale, P. and Singh, H. (2001) 'How to make strategic alliances work', *MIT Sloan management review* [Preprint].
Dyer, J.H. and Singh, H. (1998) 'The relational view: Cooperative strategy and sources of interorganizational competitive advantage', *Academy of management review*, 23(4), pp. 660–679.
Eisenhardt, K.M. and Schoonhoven, C.B. (1996) 'Resource-based view of strategic alliance formation: Strategic and social effects in entrepreneurial firms', *organization Science*, 7(2), pp. 136–150.
Enis, P. and Geisser, S. (1974) 'Optimal predictive linear discriminants', *The Annals of Statistics*, pp. 403–410.
Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981) 'Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics'. Sage Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
Germann, F., Lilien, G.L. and Rangaswamy, A. (2013) 'Performance implications of deploying marketing analytics', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 30(2), pp. 114–128.
Gulati, R. (1998) 'Alliances and networks', *Strategic management journal*, 19(4), pp. 293–317.
Hagedoorn, J. (2002) 'Inter-firm R&D partnerships: an overview of major trends and patterns since 1960', *Research policy*, 31(4), pp. 477–492.
Hagedoorn, J. and Cloodt, M. (2003) 'Measuring innovative performance: is there an advantage in using multiple indicators?', *Research policy*, 32(8), pp. 1365–1379.
Hagedoorn, J. and Duysters, G. (2002) 'External sources of innovative capabilities: the preferences for strategic alliances or mergers and acquisitions', *Journal of management studies*, 39(2), pp. 167–188.
Hair, J.F. *et al.* (2010) 'Multivariate data analysis: Pearson College division', *Person: London, UK* [Preprint].
Hair Jr, J.F. *et al.* (2011) 'Multivariate Data Analysis. New Jersey: PrenticeHall'. Inc.
Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2015) 'A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling', *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 43, pp. 115–135.
Jaworski, B.J. and Kohli, A.K. (1993) 'Market orientation: antecedents and consequences', *Journal of marketing*, 57(3), pp. 53–70.
Kohli, A.K. and Jaworski, B.J. (1990) 'Market orientation: the construct, research propositions, and managerial implications', *Journal of marketing*, 54(2), pp. 1–18.
Marr, B. (2015) *Big Data: Using SMART big data, analytics and metrics to make better decisions and improve performance*. John Wiley & Sons.
McAfee, A. *et al.* (2012) 'Big data: the management revolution', *Harvard business review*, 90(10), pp. 60–68.
Mu, J. and Di Benedetto, A. (2011) 'Networking capability and new product development', *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 59(1), pp. 4–19.
Narver, J.C. and Slater, S.F. (1990) 'The effect of a market orientation on business

profitability', *Journal of marketing*, 54(4), pp. 20–35.
Nunnally, J. and Bernstein, I. (1994) 'Psychometric Theory 3rd edition (MacGraw-Hill, New York)'.
Preacher, K.J. and Hayes, A.F. (2004) 'SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models', *Behavior research methods, instruments, & computers*, 36, pp. 717–731.
Provost, F. (2013) *Data Science for Business: What you need to know about data mining and data-analytic thinking*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.
Teece, D.J. (2010) 'Business models, business strategy and innovation', *Long range planning*, 43(2–3), pp. 172–194.
Todeva, E. and Knoke, D. (2005) 'Strategic alliances and models of collaboration', *Management decision*, 43(1), pp. 123–148.